

ROYAL CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION EXECUTIVE PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM



केते वरीयान सेयाना		For the rating period	:	to		_	THE SERVICE COM
Agency:							
Name of the Employee: Name of the Manager:							
Employee	ID N	o:	Position Title:				
Position T	`itle:						
1. EVA	LUAT	TION OF PERFORMA	NCE	OUTPUT			
A)	Hov	w would you rate	th	e extent	of :	his/her	performance
	acc	omplishment in tern	ns of	f the prog	ramme	es, proj	ects and thei
	targ	gets for the last six m	onth	s?			
	1.	Outstanding	:	3.5 – 4.0	0 poin	ıts [
	2.	Very Good	:	2.5 – 3.4	9 poin	ıts [
	3.	Good	:	1.5 – 2.4	9 poin	ıts [
	4.	Improvement Neede	d:	0 – 1.4	9 poin	nts [
Substantia	ate ra	ting with at least one	exar	nple:			
В)		v would you rate the months?	qual	ity of his/l	ner wo	rk outp	ut in the last
	1.	Outstanding		3.5 – 4.0	noin	ıte F	
	2.	Very Good	•	2.5 – 3.4	-	<u> </u>	
	3.	Good	•	2.5 - 3.4 $1.5 - 2.4$	-	L	
			٠,		-	L	
O1	4.	Improvement Neede		0 – 1.4	y poir	ns [
Substantia	ate ra	ting with at least one	exar	npie:			

	C)	How would you rate the timeliness of his/her work output in the						
		las	t six months?					
		1.	Outstanding	:	3.5 - 4.00 points			
		2.	Very Good	:	2.5 – 3.49 points			
		3.	Good	:	1.5 – 2.49 points			
		4.	Improvement N	eeded:	0 – 1.49 points			
	Subs	stant	iate rating with a	t least or	ne example:			
	тот	AT E	ATING.					
			RATING:		- AVERAGE RATING	(A).		
	ואוט	IDE 1	TOTAL RATING	ы 3 – 1	AVERAGE RATING	(A):		
2 .	EVAI	LUAT	'ION OF COMPE'	TENCIES	.			
_,	D)					the ability to plan,		
	-,		_	·	evaluate and decisi			
		1.	_		3.5 – 4.00 points			
		2.	C		2.5 – 3.49 points			
		3.	_		1.5 – 2.49 points			
		4.			0 – 1.49 points			
	Subs	stant	iate rating with a	it least or	ne example:			
	E)	Ma	nagement of Peo	ople (it i	ncludes among ot	hers the ability to		
		est	ablish clear visio	n/directi	ion, promote profes	sionalism, advance		
		car	reer growth of s	ubordina	ites, motivation of	subordinates and		
		effe	ective communica	ıtions ski	lls)			
		1.	Outstanding	:	3.5 – 4.00 points			
		2.	Very Good	:	2.5 – 3.49 points			
		3.	Good	:	1.5 – 2.49 points			
		4.	Improvement N	eeded:	0 – 1.49 points			

ויגו	Мо		:1:43					
F)	Management of Resources (it includes among others the ability							
		bilize resources, effective utilization, proper managem ilities and equipments)	.CIIt					
	1ac	Outstanding : 3.5 – 4.00 points						
	1. 2.	Very Good : 2.5 – 3.49 points						
	2. 3.							
		<u> </u>						
	4.	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
G)		inagement of Linkages (it includes among others the ab	_					
	woı	rk effectively with other peers of other Agencies/Departs	mei					
	sta	keholders, superiors and clients)						
	1.	Outstanding : 3.5 – 4.00 points						
	2.	Very Good : 2.5 – 3.49 points						
	3.	Good : 1.5 – 2.49 points						
	3. 4.	Good : 1.5 – 2.49 points Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
		-						
Sub	4.	-						
Sub	4.	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
Sub	4.	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
	4. estant	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
	4. estant	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
'AL RA	4. estant	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points						
'AL RA	4. estant	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points tiate rating with at least one example: G:						
AL RA	4. estant	Improvement Needed: 0 – 1.49 points tiate rating with at least one example: G:						

Comments by the Manager							
(Signature of the Manager)							

THE APPRAISAL MEETING WITH THE EMPLOYEE IS CONCLUDED AT THIS POINT.

THE MANAGER SHALL COMPLETE THE FINAL RATINGS CALCULATION BELOW, AND FORWARD THE SUMMATIVE REVIEW FORM TO THE SECRETARY OR MINISTER FOR REVIEW AND FINAL APPROVAL.

FINAL RATINGS CALCULATION:
Average Rating (A): 60% Weightage
+ Average Rating (B): 40% Weightage = Final Rating (C): • Calculation: (A x 0.6) + (B x 0.4) = C
If C = [tick appropriate box to confirm Final Rating]:
2.50 - 3.49 Very Good $0 - 1.49$ Improvement Needed
Name and Signature of Manager Approval by Chairperson, HR Committee